TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

May 28, 2003

LB 23, 29, 59, 59A, 62, 74, 121, 125, 133
136, 144, 153, 162, 171, 178-180, 201, 221
247, 254, 260, 272, 286, 298, 300, 302, 313
327, 329, 344, 364, 383, 390, 427, 438, 455
461, 484, 489, 495, 522, 531, 564, 580, 600
605, 628, 629, 632, 637, 645, 666, 699, 704
713, 739, 803, 808

CLERK: Mr. President, just one. A motion from Senator Bromm to be printed. (Re: LB 23, LB 29, LB 59, LB 59A, LB 62, LB 74, LB 121, LB 125, LB 133, LB 136, LB 144, LB 153, LB 162, LB 171, LB 178, LB 179, LB 180, LB 201, LB 221, LB 247, LB 254, LB 260, LB 272, LB 286, LB 298, LB 300, LB 302, LB 313, LB 327, LB 329, LB 344, LB 364, LB 383, LB 390, LB 427, LB 438, LB 455, LB 484, LB 489, LB 495, LB 522, LB 531, LB 564, LB 580, LB 600, LB 605, LB 628, LB 629, LB 632, LB 637, LB 645, LB 666, LB 699, LB 704, LB 713, LB 739, LB 803, and LB 808.) That's all that I have (Legislative Journal page 2071.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. We are on Select File. Mr. Clerk, first item.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 461 is on Select File. No Enrollment and Review. Senator Janssen has amendments. Senator, I have AM1413, but I have a note you'd like to withdraw that particular amendment.

SENATOR JANSSEN: That's correct.

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: Senator Janssen would move to amend with AM2111, Mr. President. (Legislative Journal pages 2071-2072.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Janssen, you're recognized to open on AM2111.

Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the SENATOR JANSSEN: Legislature LB 461, when we had it on General File, contained a provision that the candidates receiving the highest number of votes...and this was on township government...at the general election shall serve as chairperson; the candidate receiving the second highest number of votes shall serve as the township treasurer; and the third candidate, with the third highest would fill the seat of the clerk. But there number of votes. was some questions regarding the fact that some of townships' officers had held those positions for years, and no one ever ran against them. And changing this to a nonpartisan ballot and putting those provisions in would be rather